


COPIES OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED



11/3 ~~72~~
9-18-02

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on **September 3, 2002**, this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> with sufficient postage to as first class mail	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 <input type="checkbox"/> as "Express Mail Post Office Addressee" Mailing Label No.
--	--

Patricia Meade
Patricia Meade

PATENT

Applicant: Kenneth W. Baun, et al.
Serial No.: 09/427,386
Filed: October 25, 1999
Title: TELESCOPE SYSTEM
HAVING AN INTELLIGENT
MOTOR CONTROLLER

Examiner: Jennifer E. Winstedt
Group Art Unit: 2872
Atty Docket No.: 12187-12/JWE

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION WITH
MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Post Office Box 7680
Newport Beach, CA 92660-6441
September 3, 2002

Commissioner:

In response to the Office communication dated May 31, 2002, the time period for responding to which has been extended to September 3, 2002 by the intervening weekend and Labor Day holiday, Applicant respectfully responds as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

Please cancel Claims 55 – 60, 75 and 76. ✓

09/18/2002 AJOHNS01 00000003 501329 09427386

Please amend Claim 63 to read as follows:

63. (Amended) The telescope system according to claim [61] 62, wherein the motion indicator comprises an incremental encoder, the motion indication signals corresponding to increments of the encoder, a timing between increments corresponding to a speed of movement and an amount of increments corresponding an actual extent of movement.

REMARKS

Initially, Claims 59, 60 and 65 were objected to for indefiniteness. Claims 59 and 60 have been cancelled making the objection thereto moot. Claim 63 has been amended in order to adjust the chain of dependency such that the "position register" limitation of Claim 65 has a sufficient antecedent basis.